arXiv:1703.05359v1 [math.AT] 15 Mar 2017

# ARROW CATEGORIES OF MONOIDAL MODEL CATEGORIES

DAVID WHITE AND DONALD YAU

ABSTRACT. We prove that the arrow category of a monoidal model category, equipped with the pushout product monoidal structure and the projective model structure, is a monoidal model category. This result confirms a belief expressed by Hovey in his work on Smith ideals. As illustrations we include examples of non-cofibrantly generated monoidal model categories, including chain complexes, small categories, and topological spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Based on an unpublished talk by Jeff Smith, in [Hov∞] Hovey developed a homotopy theory of Smith ideals in monoidal model categories. Given a symmetric monoidal closed category $\mathsf{M}$, its arrow category $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}$ becomes a symmetric monoidal closed category when equipped with the pushout product monoidal structure, denoted $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$. A Smith ideal in $\mathsf{M}$ is defined as a monoid in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$. Equivalently, a Smith ideal is a triple $(R, I, j)$ with $R$ a monoid in $\mathsf{M}$, $I$ an $R$-bimodule, and $j : I \longrightarrow R$ a map of $R$-bimodules that satisfies an extra compatibility condition.

If $\mathsf{M}$ has a (cofibrantly generated) model structure, then its arrow category inherits a (cofibrantly generated) projective model structure with weak equivalences and fibrations defined entrywise in $\mathsf{M}$. This is because the arrow category is the category of functors from $\{0 \longrightarrow 1\}$, which is a direct category, to $\mathsf{M}$. A monoidal model category [SS00] is a symmetric monoidal closed category with a model structure that satisfies the pushout product axiom. In [Hov∞] (3.1(5)) Hovey showed that if $\mathsf{M}$ is a cofibrantly generated monoidal model category, then its arrow category equipped with the pushout product monoidal structure and the projective model structure is a monoidal model category. Furthermore, Hovey expressed the belief that $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ should be a monoidal model category even without assuming cofibrant generation on $\mathsf{M}$. The purpose of this short note is to prove that this is indeed the case.

**Theorem A.** *Suppose* $\mathsf{M}$ *is a monoidal model category. Then its arrow category equipped with the pushout product monoidal structure and the projective model structure is a monoidal model category.*

This result will be proved below as Theorem 3.1. The point is that it is not necessary to assume cofibrant generation on $\mathsf{M}$, as in [Hov∞] (3.1(5)).

The difficulty of verifying the pushout product axiom in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ with the projective model structure is twofold. On the one hand, we have to deal with pushout products (in the arrow category) of pushout products (in the original category $\mathsf{M}$). On top of that, we need to deal with (trivial) cofibrations in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}_{\text{proj}}$, and we have lost some control of them because they are not defined entrywise in $\mathsf{M}$.

To deal with these categorical and homotopical difficulties, our proof below involves three rewriting of pushout corner maps. In the first instance (3.6), we rewrite a pushout corner map as the induced map between two pushout squares. In each of the other two instances (3.9) and (3.11), a pushout corner map is rewritten as a pushout product.

In Section 4 we will provide a list of examples of monoidal model categories that are not cofibrantly generated, including chain complexes, small categories, and spaces.

## 2. Projective Model Structure on the Arrow Category

In this section we briefly recall some definitions and facts regarding monoidal model categories and arrow categories. Our main references for model categories are [Hir03, Hov99, SS00]. In this paper, $(\mathsf{M}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, \mathrm{Hom})$ will be a bicomplete symmetric monoidal closed category with monoidal unit $\mathbb{1}$ and initial object $\varnothing$.

**Definition 2.1.** (1) A model category is *cofibrantly generated* if there are a set $I$ of cofibrations and a set $J$ of trivial cofibrations (i.e., maps that are both cofibrations and weak equivalences) that permit the small object argument (with respect to some cardinal $\kappa$), and a map is a (trivial) fibration if and only if it satisfies the right lifting property with respect to all maps in $J$ (resp., $I$).

(2) A symmetric monoidal closed category $\mathsf{M}$ equipped with a model structure is called a *monoidal model category* if it satisfies the following *pushout product axiom* [SS00] (3.1):

- Given any cofibrations $f : X_0 \longrightarrow X_1$ and $g : Y_0 \longrightarrow Y_1$, the pushout product map

$$(X_0 \otimes Y_1) \coprod_{X_0 \otimes Y_0} (X_1 \otimes Y_0) \xrightarrow{f \square g} X_1 \otimes Y_1$$

is a cofibration. If, in addition, either $f$ or $g$ is a weak equivalence then $f \square g$ is a trivial cofibration.

We now recall the arrow category and its projective model structure from [Hov$\infty$].

**Definition 2.2.** (1) Given a solid-arrow commutative diagram




in M in which the square is a pushout, the unique dotted induced map–i.e., the *pushout corner map*–will be denoted by $f \circledast g$. The only exception to this notation is when the pushout corner map is actually a pushout product of two maps, in which case we keep the box notation in Def. 2.1.

(2) The *arrow category* $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}$ is the category whose objects are maps in M, in which a map $\alpha : f \longrightarrow g$ is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & Y_0 \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & Y_1 \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

in M. We will also write $\mathrm{Ev}_0 f = X_0$, $\mathrm{Ev}_1 f = X_1$, $\mathrm{Ev}_0 \alpha = \alpha_0$, and $\mathrm{Ev}_1 \alpha = \alpha_1$.

(3) The *pushout product monoidal structure* on $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}$ is given by the pushout product

$$(X_0 \otimes Y_1) \underset{X_0 \otimes Y_0}{\amalg} (X_1 \otimes Y_0) \xrightarrow{f \square g} X_1 \otimes Y_1$$

for $f : X_0 \longrightarrow X_1$ and $g : Y_0 \longrightarrow Y_1$. The arrow category equipped with this monoidal structure is denoted by $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$. Its monoidal unit is $\varnothing \longrightarrow \mathbb{1}$, and it is a symmetric monoidal closed category.

(4) Defining $L_0(X) = (\mathrm{Id} : X \longrightarrow X)$ and $L_1(X) = (\varnothing \longrightarrow X)$ for $X \in \mathsf{M}$, there are adjunctions

$$\mathsf{M} \underset{\mathrm{Ev}_0}{\overset{L_0}{\rightleftarrows}} \overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}} \qquad\qquad \mathsf{M} \underset{\mathrm{Ev}_1}{\overset{L_1}{\rightleftarrows}} \overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}} \tag{2.4}$$

with left adjoints on top.

Of course, the arrow category is also the category of functors from the category $\{0 \longrightarrow 1\}$, with two objects and one non-identity arrow, to M. The following result about the projective model structure is from [Hov∞] (3.1).

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose* M *is a model category.*

*(1) There is a model structure on* $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}$*, called the* projective model structure*, in which a map* $\alpha : f \longrightarrow g$ *as in* (2.3) *is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) if and only if* $\alpha_0$ *and* $\alpha_1$ *are weak equivalences (resp., fibrations) in* M*.*

*A map $\alpha$ is a (trivial) cofibration if and only if $\alpha_0$ and the pushout corner map*

$$X_1 \coprod_{X_0} Y_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1 \otimes g} Y_1$$

*are (trivial) cofibrations in* $\mathsf{M}$*. The arrow category equipped with the projective model structure is denoted by* $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}_{\text{proj}}$*.*

(2) *If* $\mathsf{M}$ *is a cofibrantly generated monoidal model category, then* $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ *equipped with the projective model structure is a monoidal model category.*

**Remark 2.6.** In (1) above the projective model structure on $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}$ is the special case of [Hov99] (5.1.3) for the direct category $\{0 \longrightarrow 1\}$. If $\alpha$ is a (trivial) cofibration, then $\alpha_1$ is also a (trivial) cofibration. If $\mathsf{M}$ is cofibrantly generated with generating cofibrations $I$ and generating trivial cofibrations $J$, then $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}_{\text{proj}}$ is cofibrantly generated with generating cofibrations $L_0 I \cup L_1 I$ and generating trivial cofibrations $L_0 J \cup L_1 J$ [Hov99] (5.1.8).

## 3. Arrow Category as a Monoidal Model Category

In [Hov$\infty$] (immediately after 3.1) Hovey stated that the last statement in Theorem 2.5 should be true even without assuming $\mathsf{M}$ is cofibrantly generated. In this section, we prove that this is indeed the case.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose* $\mathsf{M}$ *is a monoidal model category. Then* $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ *equipped with the projective model structure is a monoidal model category.*

*Proof.* We already know that $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ is a symmetric monoidal closed category equipped with the projective model structure. We must show that it satisfies the pushout product axiom. Suppose $\alpha : f_V \longrightarrow f_W$ and $\beta : f_X \longrightarrow f_Y$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} & W_0 \\ {\scriptstyle f_V}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_W} \\ V_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & W_1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X_0 & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & Y_0 \\ {\scriptstyle f_X}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_Y} \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & Y_1 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

are two maps in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$. Their pushout product in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ is the map

$$(f_W \square f_X) \coprod_{f_V \square f_X} (f_V \square f_Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha \square_2 \beta} f_W \square f_Y$$

in which $\square$ (resp., $\square_2$) is the pushout product in $\mathsf{M}$ (resp., $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$). To simplify the notation, in the diagrams below we will omit writing $\otimes$, so $V_0 X_0$ means

$V_0 \otimes X_0$, etc. Unraveling the various pushout products, $\alpha \,\square_2\, \beta$ is the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Big(W_1X_0 \underset{W_0X_0}{\amalg} W_0X_1\Big) \underset{\left(V_1X_0 \underset{V_0X_0}{\amalg} V_0X_1\right)}{\amalg} \Big(V_1Y_0 \underset{V_0Y_0}{\amalg} V_0Y_1\Big) & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & W_1Y_0 \underset{W_0Y_0}{\amalg} W_0Y_1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle (f_W\square f_X) \underset{f_V\square f_X}{\amalg} (f_V\square f_Y)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_W\square f_Y} \\ W_1X_1 \underset{V_1X_1}{\amalg} V_1Y_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1\square\beta_1} & W_1Y_1 \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

in M.

To prove the pushout product axiom in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}$ equipped with the projective model structure, suppose $\alpha$ is a cofibration and $\beta$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}_{\text{proj}}$. The case with $\alpha$ a trivial cofibration and $\beta$ a cofibration is proved by essentially the same argument. To show that $\alpha \,\square_2\, \beta$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}_{\text{proj}}$, we must show that:

(1) The top horizontal map $\zeta$ in (3.3) is a (trivial) cofibration in M.
(2) The pushout corner map

$$\Big(W_1X_1 \underset{V_1X_1}{\amalg} V_1Y_1\Big) \underset{Z}{\amalg} \Big(W_1Y_0 \underset{W_0Y_0}{\amalg} W_0Y_1\Big) \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1\square\beta_1)\otimes(f_W\square f_Y)} W_1Y_1 \tag{3.4}$$

of the commutative diagram (3.3) is a (trivial) cofibration in M, where $Z$ denotes the object in the upper left corner in (3.3).

We will prove statement (1) in Lemma 3.5 and statement (2) in Lemma 3.10 below. □

**Lemma 3.5.** *The top horizontal map $\zeta$ in* (3.3) *is a (trivial) cofibration in* M.

*Proof.* First note that $\zeta$ is a pushout corner map. By the commutation of colimits, we may rewrite $\zeta$ as the unique induced map in the commutative cube




(3.6)

in M with both the back and the front faces pushouts.

Consider the Reedy category $\mathsf{D} = \{-1 \longleftarrow 0 \longrightarrow 1\}$ with three objects, a map $0 \longrightarrow -1$ that lowers the degree, a map $0 \longrightarrow 1$ that raises the degree, and no other non-identity maps. There is a Reedy model structure [Hov99] (5.2.5) on the diagram category $\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}}$ in which weak equivalences are defined entrywise. A map $h : A \longrightarrow B$ in $\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_{-1} & \longleftarrow & A_0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 \\ {\scriptstyle h_{-1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h_1} \\ B_{-1} & \longleftarrow & B_0 & \xrightarrow{g} & B_1 \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

is a Reedy (trivial) cofibration if and only if the maps $h_{-1}$, $h_0$, and the pushout corner map $g \circledast h_1 : B_0 \coprod_{A_0} A_1 \longrightarrow B_1$ are (trivial) cofibrations in $\mathsf{M}$. Furthermore, there is a Quillen adjunction

$$\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}} \underset{\text{constant}}{\overset{\text{colim}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M} \tag{3.8}$$

in which the left Quillen functor colim is the pushout [Hov99] (proof of 5.2.6).

To show that the induced map $\zeta$ in (3.6) is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}$, we use the Quillen adjunction (3.8). It is enough to show that the diagram consisting of the left and the top faces of the cube (3.6)–which has the form (3.7)–is a Reedy (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}}$. Since the maps $\alpha_0$ and $\alpha_1$ are cofibrations and since $\beta_0$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}$, the pushout products $\alpha_1 \square \beta_0$ and $\alpha_0 \square \beta_0$ are (trivial) cofibrations in $\mathsf{M}$ by the pushout product axiom.

It remains to check that the pushout corner map $\xi$ of the top face of the cube (3.6) is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}$. We can rewrite $\xi$ as the pushout product $\alpha_0 \square (\beta_1 \circledast f_Y)$ in the diagram




in $\mathsf{M}$. Indeed, the pushout in the previous diagram has the same universal property as the pushout of the top face of the cube (3.6), and the pushout corner map to $W_0 Y_1$ corresponds to the previous pushout product. Since the map $\alpha_0$ is a cofibration and since the pushout corner map $\beta_1 \circledast f_Y$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}$, their pushout product $\xi$ is a (trivial) cofibration in $\mathsf{M}$ by the pushout product axiom. □

**Lemma 3.10.** *The pushout corner map* $(\alpha_1 \square \beta_1) \circledast (f_W \square f_Y)$ *in* (3.4) *is a (trivial) cofibration in* $\mathsf{M}$.

*Proof.* There is a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Big(W_1X_1 \underset{V_1X_1}{\amalg} V_1Y_1\Big)\underset{Z}{\amalg}\Big(W_1Y_0 \underset{W_0Y_0}{\amalg} W_0Y_1\Big) & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1\square\beta_1)\circledast(f_W\square f_Y)} & W_1Y_1 \\ \cong\downarrow & & \downarrow= \\ W_1\Big(X_1\underset{X_0}{\amalg}Y_0\Big) \underset{\Big(V_1\underset{V_0}{\amalg}W_0\Big)\Big(X_1\underset{X_0}{\amalg}Y_0\Big)}{\amalg} \Big(V_1\underset{V_0}{\amalg}W_0\Big)Y_1 & \xrightarrow{(\alpha_1\circledast f_W)\square(\beta_1\circledast f_Y)} & W_1Y_1 \end{array} \tag{3.11}$$

with $\alpha_1 \circledast f_W$ (resp., $\beta_1 \circledast f_Y$) the pushout corner map of $\alpha$ (resp., $\beta$) in (3.2) and the bottom horizontal map the pushout product of $\alpha_1 \circledast f_W$ and $\beta_1 \circledast f_Y$. The vertical isomorphism on the left comes from the fact that the pushout in the lower left corner has the same universal property as the pushout in the upper left corner. Since $\alpha_1 \circledast f_W$ is a cofibration and since $\beta_1 \circledast f_Y$ is a (trivial) cofibration in M, their pushout product–the bottom horizontal map in (3.11)–is a (trivial) cofibration in M by the pushout product axiom. □

## 4. Examples : Non-Cofibrantly Generated Monoidal Model Categories

In this section we consider examples of monoidal model categories that are *not* cofibrantly generated. By Theorem 3.1 each such category yields a monoidal model structure in its arrow category with the pushout product monoidal structure and the projective model structure.

4.1. **Cubical Diagram Categories of Monoidal Model Categories.** Starting with a monoidal model category M, cofibrantly generated or not, Theorem 3.1 can be applied repeatedly to produce an infinite sequence of cubical diagram monoidal model categories, each being the arrow category of the previous step. Indeed, the arrow category of M, equipped with the pushout product monoidal structure and the projective model structure, is a monoidal model category. Now we iterate the process and obtain a monoidal model structure on the arrow category of $\overrightarrow{\mathsf{M}}^{\square}_{\mathrm{proj}}$, with objects commutative squares and maps commutative cubes in M, and so forth.

After $n$ steps of this process, we have that the diagram category $\mathsf{M}^{\{0,1\}^{\times n}}$ is a monoidal model category. Its objects are commutative $n$-cubes in M (i.e., functors from $\{0 \longrightarrow 1\}^{\times n}$ to M) and maps are commutative $(n+1)$-cubes (i.e., natural transformations between functors from $\{0 \longrightarrow 1\}^{\times n}$ to M). Weak equivalences and fibrations are defined pointwise in M.

For example, after two steps, we have the monoidal model category $\mathsf{M}^{\{0,1\}^{\times 2}}$ of commutative squares in M. A map $\gamma : (f_V \longrightarrow f_W) \longrightarrow (f_X \longrightarrow f_Y)$

in $\mathsf{M}^{\{0,1\}^{\times 2}}$ is a commutative cube




in $\mathsf{M}$. A map $\gamma$ is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) in $\mathsf{M}^{\{0,1\}^{\times 2}}$ with the projective model structure if and only if each of the four maps $\gamma_{ij}$ is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) in $\mathsf{M}$.

4.2. **Christensen-Hovey Model Structure on Integral Chain Complexes.** The category $\mathsf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ of chain complexes of abelian groups admits the *absolute model structure* [CH02]. The weak equivalences in $\mathsf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ are the chain homotopy equivalences. Cofibrations (resp., fibrations) are the degreewise split monomorphisms (resp., degreewise split epimorphisms). Equipped with the absolute model structure, $\mathsf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a *non*-cofibrantly generated monoidal model category [CH02] (Example 3.4 and Cor. 5.12). Starting with the monoidal model category $\mathsf{Ch}(\mathbb{Z})$, Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 produce an infinite sequence of cubical diagram monoidal model categories, each being the arrow category of the previous step.

4.3. **Barthel-May-Riehl Model Structure on DG-Modules.** For a commutative ring $R$, the category of differential graded $R$-modules admits the *r-model structure* [BMR14] (1.14 and 1.15). Analogous to the previous example, its cofibrations (resp., fibrations) are the degreewise split monomorphisms (resp., degreewise split epimorphisms). Its weak equivalences are the chain homotopy equivalences. This is a *non*-cofibrantly generated monoidal model category. Starting with the $r$-model structure on dg $R$-modules, Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 produce an infinite sequence of cubical diagram monoidal model categories, each being the arrow category of the previous step.

4.4. **Adámek-Herrlich-Rosický-Tholen Model Structure on Small Categories.** The category $\mathsf{Cat}$ of all small categories has a *non*-cofibrantly generated model structure [AHRT02] (2.3, 3.5, and 3.7) in which every map is a weak equivalence and cofibrations are the full functors. Trivial fibrations are the topological functors. We will call this the *AHRT model structure* on $\mathsf{Cat}$. With Cartesian product as the monoidal product, the AHRT model structure on $\mathsf{Cat}$ is a monoidal model category because the pushout product of two full functors is again a full functor. Starting with $\mathsf{Cat}$ with the AHRT model structure, Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 produce an infinite sequence of cubical diagram monoidal model categories, each being the arrow category of the previous step.

4.5. **Strøm Model Structure on Compactly Generated Spaces.** The category Top of compactly generated spaces has a Strøm model structure [Str72] with homotopy equivalences as weak equivalences, closed Hurewicz cofibrations as cofibrations, and Hurewicz fibrations as fibrations. This is a monoidal model category [May99] (Chapter 6.4) and is *not* cofibrantly generated [Rap10] (Remark 4.7). Therefore, starting with Top with the Strøm model structure, Theorem 3.1 and 4.1 produce an infinite sequence of cubical diagram monoidal model categories, each being the arrow category of the previous step.

## REFERENCES


[AHRT02] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, J. Rosický, W. Tholen, Weak Factorization Systems and Topological Functors, Applied Categorical Structures 10 (2002), 237-249. 8

[BMR14] T. Barthel, J.P. May, and E. Riehl, Six model structures for DG-modules over DGAs: model category theory in homological action, New York J. Math. 20 (2014), 1077-1159. 8

[CH02] J.D. Christensen and M. Hovey, Quillen model structures for relative homological algebra, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 133 (2002), 261-293. 8

[Hir03] P.S. Hirschhorn, Model categories and their localizations, Math. Surveys and Monographs 99, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2003. 2

[Hov99] M. Hovey, Model categories, Math. Surveys and Monographs 63, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1999. 2, 4, 6

[Hov∞] M. Hovey, Smith ideals of structured ring spectra, preprint available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1401.2850. 1, 2, 3, 4

[May99] J.P. May, A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology, U. Chicago Press, Chicago, 1999. 9

[Rap10] G. Raptis, Homotopy theory of posets, Homology, Homotopy and Appl. 12 (2010) 211-230. 9

[SS00] S. Schwede and B. Shipley, Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories, Proc. London Math. Soc. 80 (2000), 491-511. 1, 2

[Str72] A. Strøm, The homotopy category is a homotopy category, Arch. Math. (Basel) 23 (1972), 435-441. 9



DENISON UNIVERSITY, GRANVILLE, OH

*E-mail address*: david.white@denison.edu

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AT NEWARK, NEWARK, OH

*E-mail address*: yau.22@osu.edu